**Listed below are the top issues identified by Siskiyou County resulting from dam removal, including requests from the County which have not been addressed as part of the dam removal process**

1. Lost revenue stream resulting from a decrease in property tax revenue and a decrease in property value.
   1. The County is not interested in a payout, but rather implementation of efforts that provide a continuous generation of funding to the County, and provide opportunities for economic improvement of river communities.
2. Need to extend liability coverage to the County for any harms associated with dam removal (i.e. if methodology for sediment flushing fails).
3. Need for insurance policy to cover both the State and County for any future claims on parcel B lands.
4. Potential for insufficient funding i.e. costs of the project in excess of $450 million.
5. Fish hatchery operations.
6. Need for long-term power replacement stemming from the loss of power generated by the dams.
7. Adverse impacts to well water supplies.
8. Loss of wildfire fighting capacity.
9. Adverse impacts to roads and bridges (i.e. need for improvements). *(MOU in place)*
10. Loss of recreational benefits.
11. Adverse impacts of flooding on downstream landowners/dwellings.
12. Land/Forest Management has been significantly hindered as a result of regulations to protect endangered species (i.e. coho salmon). We would like to see an effort that eases regulations and allows for proactive forest and land management, especially given that dam removal is aimed to improve coho salmon health and survival.
13. Investments in other activities aimed to improve water quality, increase water quantity and improve fish health and survivability. These would include restoration of the high mountain lakes, groundwater recharge/banking (recognizing groundwater recharge as a beneficial use), implementation of eyed egg injection, and Safe Harbors, among others.
14. Clarification regarding all Biological Opinions for the Klamath River and which ones would be relevant after dam removal, and would remain enforced.
15. Assurance of protections for Yreka City water supply, and sustainability of supply.